

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,845	ARMSTRONG, DANIEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Donald Lucas.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*all pending claims*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)